**Partnership in Research (PAR-02-2017)**

**Second round evaluation criteria (B)**

**Peer review form**

Acquaintance and the nature of your relationship with the applicant:

Please state if you know the applicant personally or if you have previously encountered the applicant’s work, read or cited his papers or assessed his work.

|  |
| --- |
|  |

Please grade the following criteria using grades from 1 to 5:
1 - Poor
2 - Fair
3 - Average
4 - Very good
5 – Excellent

Note: please use integers only. Decimal numbers are not allowed.

|  |
| --- |
| **SCIENTIFIC QUALITY AND RESEARCH PLAN INNOVATIVENESS** |
| How do you assess scientific quality and research plan innovativeness? (*Is the project scientifically well-founded? Is the work plan well-founded in scientific and professional sense? Can the project generate new knowledge, new methods and technology? Is the project original? What is its contribution to the existing knowledge in the field?*)  | 1-5 |
| How do you assess research design and proposed methodology? (*To what extent are research plan, activities and research objectives realistic and clearly presented? To what extent are research methods and materials appropriate for the project proposal?*)  | 1-5 |
| How do you assess the importance of the proposed topic and research objectives, especially in relation to possible effects on economy and society?  | 1-5 |
| Please describe the scientific quality and research relevance briefly. |
| Total |  |

|  |
| --- |
| **PROJECT PROPOSAL FEASIBILITY** |
| How do you assess work plan feasibility? (*While making this assessment, also take into account the planned time, objectives, planned results and resources available. To what extent are objectives, milestones and deliverables realistic? Does the project proposal state all potential risks and ways of dealing with them?* *To what extent does the project proposal lead to development of new knowledge and technologies, cooperation between universities and research institutes with partner institution?)* | 1-5 |
| Will the planned cooperation result in added value to project objectives realization? How do you assess the research importance for the partner institution? (*To what extent does the project contribute to the partner institution profiling and development?)*  | 1-5 |
| How do you assess the potential for applicability of results, creation of new knowledge and/or products and protection of results with intellectual property rights? | 1-5 |
| Please describe the project proposal feasibility briefly. |
| Total |  |

|  |
| --- |
| **COOPERATION AND RESEARCH GROUP** |
| What is the production of the Principal Investigator in the last 5 years in the context of publishing papers in journals with a high IF (greater than average in a particular area) or in high-quality journals in area of social sciences and humanities? Does the Principal Investigator have several publications in leading international journals in the area of research in which he is the lead or corresponding author, in the first quartile of the finest journals in the observed scientific area according to relevant scientific databases or registered patent(s)?If the disciplinary background of the Principal Investigator is the area of social sciences or humanities, does he have several papers published in peer-reviewed books, conference papers or high-quality papers?  | 1-5 |
| How do you assess Principal Investigator's research group management competencies (*based on work published with the existing research group and previous mentorships, and capacity to lead research team that includes team members from the partner institution*)? | 1-5 |
| How do you assess the compliance of scientific qualifications of team members with the planned work? Is the research group adequate to perform the research? | 1-5 |
| Please describe the cooperation and research group briefly. |
| Total |  |

|  |
| --- |
| **PROJECT PROPOSAL’S MAIN STRENGHTS** *(Please describe briefly using a minimum of 50 words.)* |
|  |
| **PROJECT PROPOSAL’S MAIN WEAKNESSES** *(Please describe briefly using a minimum of 50 words.)* |
|  |

Recommendations for funding the project proposal:

A - I propose the funding of the project proposal in this form. (40 points and more)

B - I propose the funding of the project proposal with minor finishing. (35 points and more)

C - Project proposal requires significant changes. I do not propose the funding of the project proposal in this form.